UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLAUDIA L. BLAKE	•
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 07-04366 MHP
V. UNUMPROVIDENT CORPORATION, et al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and confollowing stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8	nferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following A	ADR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L. ✓ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) ✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) - Plain	(ADR L.R. 5) Defendants
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settleme appreciably more likely to meet their needs than ADR phone conference and may not file this for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16	any other form of ADR, must participate in an m. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process: Private ADR (please identify proc	eess and provider)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The deareferring the case to an ADR proc	adline is 90 days from the date of the order vess unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadline	·
Dated: 11/16/07 Dated: ///////	Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session 90 days from the date of this order. other

D 4 1			•	
Dated:	married and the state of the st	OTATES		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

direction the service was made. Executed at San Francisco, California, on November 16, 2007.

Mary M. Martin